

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,524	08/29/2005	Axel Zacharias	175.8150USU	6792	
27623 7590 12/20/2007 OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			HOGE, GARY CHAPMAN		
STAMFORD,	CT 06901		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/518,524	ZACHARIAS, AXEL
Examiner	Art Unit
Gary C. Hoge	3611

Bororo aro i ming or an rippour Biro.	Examiner	Artonit				
•	Gary C. Hoge	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on	the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid abar	ndonment of this			
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	with 37 CFR 41.31; or	(3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of the tension and the corresponding amount of the tension and the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL		e	£ (1)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a			
AMENDMENTS		will not be entered be	001100			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further contains</li> </ol>			cause			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.				
		moliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.	De entered and an e.	хріанацон от			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
		(Cap) C Hosel				
		/Gary C Hoge/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3611				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

**Application No. 10/518,524** 

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The recitation that the connection is non-destructive constitutes a new issue that would reuqire further consideration and/or search.